

Tetrahedron Letters 43 (2002) 2777-2780

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

## Chemoselective deprotection of primary *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ethers on carbohydrate molecules in the presence of secondary silyl ethers

Ming-Yi Chen,<sup>a,\*</sup> Kuo-Cheng Lu,<sup>b</sup> Adam Shih-Yuan Lee<sup>c,\*</sup> and Chun-Cheng Lin<sup>b,d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of General Education, Taipei Nursing College, Taipei 112, Taiwan

<sup>b</sup>Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei 115, Taiwan

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Tamkang University, Tamsui 251, Taiwan

<sup>d</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Changhua University of Education, Changhua 500, Taiwan

Received 14 December 2001; revised 13 February 2002; accepted 21 February 2002

Abstract—The primary silvl ethers of TBDMS-protected saccharides were regioselectively cleaved in excellent yields (71–95%) by treating the silvl ethers with a catalytic amount of  $CBr_4$  in methanol under photochemical reaction conditions. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Although many studies have reported selective deprotection of protected hydroxyl groups,<sup>1,2</sup> there remains a great need to explore a simple and effective selective deprotecting method for carbohydrate chemistry. Silyl ethers have attained a position of prominence in the area of hydroxyl group protection due to their ease of formation and removal and their stability to a wide range of reagents and reaction conditions.<sup>1,2</sup> However, the selective deprotection of a particular silyloxy functionality requires the use of different silyl protecting groups for the various OH groups<sup>3-8</sup> present in saccharides. Such methodology demands many synthetic steps and tedious deprotection work, resulting in low overall vields. Thus, we found it necessary to develop a method using one trialkyl silyl group to protect hydroxyl functionalities on a saccharide and then regioselectively deprotecting the requisite silyloxy group without affecting the other silvloxy groups.

Among silyl ethers, *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl (TBDMS) is the most popular and commonly used protecting group because it can be easily installed in high yields under mild conditions and is robust to a variety of reaction conditions.<sup>9–11</sup> Although numerous methods for deprotecting TBDMS groups have been reported,<sup>1,2</sup> only a few selective deprotections of silyl ethers<sup>12–16</sup> on carbohydrate molecules have been achieved.<sup>17–20</sup> In our previous studies,<sup>21</sup> we have successfully deprotected trialkylsilyl ethers by using  $CBr_4/MeOH$  under refluxing conditions. Herein, we report a mild, highly efficient and selective desilylating method for *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl protected carbohydrate molecules by modifying our previous method.

The TBDMS-protected saccharides starting materials 1, 3-9, and 17-25 were obtained in high yields by treating the corresponding saccharides with tert-butyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethane sulfonate (TBDMSOTf) in the presence of 2,6-lutidine.<sup>22</sup> Our initial investigations of regioselective deprotection of primary TBDMS ethers in the presence of secondary TBDMS ethers are shown in Table 1. The desilylation of compound 1 proceeded smoothly by treatment with a catalytic amount of CBr<sub>4</sub> in MeOH or EtOH under either ultrasonic or photochemical reaction conditions.<sup>23</sup> The results showed that the starting material persisted after sonication for 4 h (entry 1) whilst compound 2 was obtained in high yield under photo-irradiation reaction conditions (entries 2-4). It was found that under photochemical reaction conditions solvents played an important role, and that methanol was a more effective solvent for regioselective deprotection than ethanol. Only a small amount of diol was obtained under photochemical reaction conditions.

Encouraged by these promising results, we then proceeded with different types of TBDMS-protected saccharides using 5 mol% of  $CBr_4$  in MeOH. As shown in

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors. Tel.: +886-2-27898648; fax: +886-2-27831237; e-mail: cclin@chem.sinica.edu.tw

<sup>0040-4039/02/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4039(02)00385-4



Tol = p-methylbenzoyl

Table 2, the regioselective deprotections of primary TBDMS ethers of galactosides were achieved in high yields (71-94%).<sup>24</sup> The results showed that the deprotection rates were influenced by other protecting groups on the saccharides. In general, the desilylation rates of primary silyl ethers of methyl glycosides were faster than those of saccharides with other substituents at their anomeric centers (Table 2, entries 1, 3–5 and 7). In the presence of ether protecting groups at secondary hydroxyls, the desilylation rates were faster than those of saccharides with ester protecting groups at the same

positions (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). The longer reaction time needed for deprotection of **8** may be due to its low solubility in MeOH. Interestingly, the presence of a free hydroxyl group near the primary silyl ether accelerated the deprotection rate (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). It should be noted that no silyl group migration was observed in any cases. More diversified examples are illustrated in Table 3.<sup>25</sup> The results showed that regardless of the various orientations of the hydroxyl groups on the saccharides, the primary TBDMS ethers were successfully deprotected in high yields (82–95%). It was

Table 2.

Entry	Substrate <sup>a</sup>	Time <sup>b</sup> (h)	Product		Yield (%)
1	TBDMSO OTBDMS TBDMSO SPhCH <sub>3</sub> <sup>3</sup> TBDMSO	20	TBDMSO OH TBDMSO SPhCH <sub>3</sub> <sup>10</sup> TBDMSO	)	75
2	HO OTBDMS TBDMSO SPhCH <sub>3</sub> 4 TBDMSO	1	HO OH TBDMSO SPhCH <sub>3</sub> 1 <sup>-</sup> TBDMSO	1	87
3	TBDMSO OTBDMS TBDMSO TBDMSO	4		2	94
4	TBDMSO OTBDMS TBDMSO 6	28	TBDMSO OH TBDMSO 11 TBDMSO	3	90
5	TBDMSO OTBDMS BnO SPhCH <sub>3</sub> 7	12	TBDMSO OH BnO BnO SPhCH <sub>3</sub> 14	•	93
6	TBDMSO OTBDMS TolO SPhCH <sub>3</sub> 8	39	TBDMSO OH TolO SPhCH <sub>3</sub> 11 TolO	5	71
7	TBDMSO OTBDMS BnO BnO BnO Me	5		5	85

<sup>a</sup>TBDMS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl; Tol = *p*-methylbenzoyl <sup>b</sup>Irradiated for 0.5 h then stirred at room temperature

## Table 3.

Entry	Substrate <sup>a</sup>	Time <sup>b</sup> (h)	Product	Yield (%)
1	TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO	28	TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO	83
2	HO TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO	2	TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO	95
3	TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO OMe	23	TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO OMe	87
4	TBDMSO BnO BnO BnO BnO	19	TBDMSO BnO BnO BnO BnO	90
5	TBDMSO OTBDMS BZO OTBDPS 21	12	BZO N3 OTBDPS 30	89
6	TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO TrocHN TrocHN	6	TBDMSO TBDMSO TrocHN 31	82
7	TBDMSO OTBDMS TBDMSO N3 SePh	20	TBDMSO OH TBDMSO N <sub>3</sub> SePh	90
8	TSDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO TBDMSO 24	13	HO OTBDMS TBDMSO 33	83
9	TBDMSO OTBDMS <sub>CO2</sub> Bn TBDMSO/// N <sub>3</sub> TBDMSO	11	HO OTBDMS CO2Bn TBDMSO/// SPhCH3 <sup>34</sup> N3 TBDMSO	86

<sup>a</sup>TBDMS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl; Tol = *p*-methylbenzoyl; Troc = 2,2,2-trichloroethoxycarbonyl <sup>b</sup>Irradiated for 0.5 hthen atirred at room temperature

also observed that the trend in deprotection rates of glucosides was similar to that of galactosides except for entry 2 of Table 1 and entry 4 of Table 3.

The typical procedure for deprotection of a primary *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether is as follows: A solution of saccharide (1.0 equiv.),  $CBr_4$  (0.05 equiv.) and anhydrous MeOH (10 mL/1.0 mmol saccharide) in a Pyrex round flask was irradiated by a TLC lamp (Uvltec Limited, 245 nm, 8 W) for 0.5 h, followed by stirring without irradiation at room temperature. After the reaction was complete (TLC), the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Further purification was achieved by flash chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate/hexane.

In conclusion, our present studies achieved highly regioselective deprotections of primary TBDMS ethers in the presence of secondary TBDMS ethers on saccharides. This highly selective deprotection method could have a wide application in organic synthesis, particularly in carbohydrate chemistry.<sup>26</sup> Further application of this selective desilylation method for the synthesis of glucan is in hand.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the Academia Sinica, National Science Council in Taiwan, Tamkang University and National Taipei College of Nursing for their financial support.

## References

 Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1999; pp. 17–292.

- 2. Kocienski, P. J. *Protecting Groups*; Georg Thieme: New York, 1994; pp. 21–117.
- 3. Nelson, T. D.; Crouch, R. D. Synthesis 1996, 1031-1069.
- Hattori, K.; Sajiki, H.; Hirota, K. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 2109–2114.
- Higashibayashi, S.; Shinko, K.; Ishizu, T.; Hashimoto, K.; Shirahama, H.; Nakata, M. Synlett 2000, 1306–1308.
- 6. Hunter, R.; Hinz, W.; Richards, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, 40, 3643–3646.
- 7. Ramasamy, K. S.; Averett, D. Synlett 1999, 709-712.
- Farras, J.; Serra, C.; Vilarrasa, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 327–330.
- 9. Bartoli, G.; Bosco, M.; Marcantoni, E.; Sambri, L.; Torregiani, E. Synlett 1998, 209–211.
- 10. Muzart, J. Synthesis 1993, 11-27.
- 11. Lalonde, M.; Chan, T. H. Synthesis 1985, 817-845.
- 12. De Groot, A. H.; Dommisse, R. A.; Lemière, G. L. *Tetrahedron* 2000, *56*, 1541–1549.
- Firouzabadi, H.; Etemadi, S.; Karimi, B.; Jarrahpour, A. A. Synth. Commun. 1999, 29, 4333–4339.
- Maiti, G.; Roy, S. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 495– 498.
- 15. Tandon, M.; Begley, T. P. Synth. Commun. 1997, 27, 2953–2959.
- Feixas, J.; Capdevila, A.; Guerrero, A. *Tetrahedron* 1994, 50, 8539–8550.
- Yang, Y.-Y.; Yang, W.-B.; Teo, C.-F.; Lin, C.-H. Synlett 2000, 1634–1636.
- 18. Cormier, J. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 187-188.
- Kawai, A.; Hara, O.; Hamada, Y.; Shioiri, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 6331–6334.
- 20. McInnes, A. G. Can. J. Chem. 1965, 43, 1998-2003.
- Lee, A. S.-Y.; Yeh, H.-C.; Shie, J.-J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5249–5252.
- 22. Corey, E. J.; Cho, H.; Rucker, C.; Hua, D. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3455–3458.
- 23. NMR data for compound 2: <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ -0.20 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.75 (s, 9H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 6H), 2.69 (br, 1H), 3.54 (m, 1H), 3.77 (m, 1H), 3.93–3.99 (m, 2H), 4.90 (d, J=9.9 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, J=9.7, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 5.58 (dd, J=9.7, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 7.09–7.13 (m, 6H), 7.32–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.76–7.81 (m, 4H).
- 24. Selective data for compound 12: <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.09 (s, 3H), 0.11 (s, 6H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 3.39 (s, 3H), 3.58–3.62 (m, 2H), 3.76–3.82 (m, 3H), 4.14 (m, 1H), 4.65 (d, J=2.9

Hz, 1H). For compound 13: <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  0.05 (s, 3H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.10 (s, 3H), 0.12 (s, 3H), 0.13 (s, 3H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.94 (s, 9H), 1.94 (br, 1H), 3.62 (d, J=6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.86–3.91 (m, 2H), 3.94– 3.99 (m, 4H), 4.22 (dd, J=13.2, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J=13.2, 4.9 Hz)J=1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.1 (dd, J=10.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.31 (d, J = 13.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (m, 1H). For compound 14: <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 3.45 (dd, J=9.4, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (dd, J=7.8, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (dd, J=11.1, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (t, J=9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (dd, J=11.1, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (d, J=2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J=9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (s, 2H), 4.72 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.26–7.40 (m, 8H), 7.48 (d, J=8.0Hz, 2H). For compound 16: <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  0.09 (s, 3H), 0.11 (s, 3H), 0.92 (s, 9H), 2.66 (br, 1H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 3.72-3.89 (m, 5H), 4.06 (m, 1H), 4.67-4.86 (m, 5H), 7.28-7.42 (m, 10H).

- 25. Selective data for compound **26**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  0.06 (s, 3H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.10 (s, 3H), 0.11 (s, 3H), 0.13 (s, 6H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 3.68-3.79 (m, 3H), 3.80-3.89 (m, 3H), 4.90 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 7.09(d, J=8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2H). For compound **30**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  -0.18 (s, 3H), -0.08 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 1.14 (s, 9H), 3.20 (dd, J=7.6, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (dd, J=10.9, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J=10.8, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dd, J=10.9, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, J=2.7 Hz, 1H),4.68 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (dd, J = 10.8, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.36-7.50 (m, 8H), 7.59 (m, 1H), 7.70-7.80 (m, 4H), 8.05–8.08 (m, 2H). For compound 32: <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  0.08 (s, 3H), 0.17 (s, 3H), 0.19 (s, 3H), 0.22 (s, 3H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.98 (s, 9H), 3.53 (dd, J=11.3, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (dd, J=11.3, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (dd, J=9.8, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (d, J=2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.12-4.18 (m, 2H), 6.01 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.24–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.61–7.64 (m, 2H). For compound **34**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ 0.00 (s, 6H), 0.01 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.13 (s, 3H), 0.20 (s, 3H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.88 (m, 1H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.98 (s, 9H), 1.73 (dd, J = 11.6, 9.2Hz, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.69 (dd, J = 7.6, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (dd, J=9.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (dd, J=7.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H),3.51-3.59 (m, 3H), 3.83 (m, 1H), 4.03 (br, 1H), 5.03 (d, J=2.2 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, J=7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.23–7.27 (m, 3H), 7.34-7.38 (m, 4H).
- Bosques, C. J.; Tai, V. W.-F.; Imperiali, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 7207–7210.